

# Unit Assessment Report - Four Column Guam Community College Board of Trustees

Mission Statement: The Board of Trustees upholds the mission of Guam Community College through policy formulation and governance processes that

shape, promote and strengthen the college as a premier vocational institution in the Pacific region.

Vision Statement: The BOT envisions a highly-respected, reputable, and community-supported two-year institution that addresses the changing needs

of the workforce in Guam and the region through quality educational opportunities that lead to career success and lifelong learning.

Data Collection Status/Summary of Results (N=?)

### Administrative Unit Outcomes (AUOs)

Board of Trustees - FA2015-SP2017 AUO#1-Policy Review - Continued - Continue to evaluate and amend periodically Board Policies and the Code of Ethics Policy for all GCC constituents (including the Board) to align processes and procedures, as necessary and appropriate.

### Start Date:

10/31/2015

### End Date: 05/31/2017

AUO Status:

Currently being assessed

### Program SLO/AUO Plan

### reflects/incorporates:

Use and Implementation of Results from the previous cycle

### **Historical Assessment Perspective:**

Use and implementation of result from the previous cycle

### **Budget Goals:**

Evaluate and amend periodically Board Policies and the Code of Ethics Policy for all GCC constituents (including the Board) to align processes and procedures, as necessary and appropriate.

## Means of Assessment & Criteria (Written in %) / Tasks

### Artifact/Instrument/Rubric/Method/Tool Description:

Continue to revise BOT policies that separate the procedural portion of the policies into a companion document of administrative procedures in order to consolidate and facilitate administrative changes without unduly taxing the Board to act upon changes that do not affect the integrity of the policy itself.

### Type of

#### Artifact/Instrument/Rubric/Method/Tool:

Board of Trustees Policy

### Criterion (Written in %):

100% BOT policies will reflect a last review date of 3 years or less.

BOT will continuously update BOT policies to maintain currency on a regular basis as deemed necessary and appropriate.

100% BOT policies reviewed will separate the policy and guidelines, as recommended by ACCJC on their 2012 report recommendations.

### **Budget Related Performance Indicators:**

Follow and implement the established annual schedule for evaluation of board policies; Addressing 2012 ACCJC Report recommendations.

#### **Related Documents:**

2016.POLICY REVIEW TOOL-Criteria

for BOT Policy Review.pdf

Proposed.AY2015-2017 BOT

Assessment Goals, Objectives, Person

Responsible, Timeline.pdf

Proposed.BOT Fiscal Calendar (October

2015-September 2016).pdf

#### Artifact/Instrument/Rubric/Method/Tool

### **Description:**

Policy review tool

Type of

Artifact/Instrument/Rubric/Method/Tool:

**Board of Trustees Policy** 

### 02/27/2018 9:06 AM

**Use of Summary Result & Implementation** 

Status

Administrative Unit Outcomes (AUOs)	Means of Assessment & Criteria (Written in %) / Tasks	Data Collection Status/Summary of Results (N=?)	Use of Summary Result & Implementation Status
	Criterion (Written in %): Approximately 80% of policies reviewed will be approved by first review by the Board. Budget Related Performance Indicators: Follow and implement the established annual schedule for evaluation of board policies; Addressing 2012 ACCJC Report recommendations.		
		10/10/2016 - N=78 policies which is the number of current policies. Not all of the 78 policies are due for review, however, in 2015 and 2016, some were due for review, were updated with changes and or no changes. This is a continuous process as policies should be updated for currency as needed.  Summary of Result Type: Criterion Met  Data Collection Status/Summary of Result Status: Administrative/Student Services Unit Data Collection Status  Growth Budget Implications/Effect: No budget impact  Growth Budget Justification: None is known to author at this time.  Budget Related Proposed Outcomes: Continue to revise BOT policies that separate the	03/13/2017 - Since the author's first policy review, changes such as having the matrix being continuously updated assists in the review of all the Board Policy Series. Key personnel are made aware of policies relating to their department/division that require updating. Author has observed key personnel are appreciative of this process. Author is also appreciative of this process and will continue to keep up with updating of Board policies as deemed necessary.
		procedural portion of the policies into a companion document of administrative procedures in order to consolidate and facilitate administrative changes without unduly taxing the Board to act upon changes that do not affect the integrity of the policy itself.	President and Vice President of Academic Affairs to also be apprised of policies that are ready for review, whether amendments are made or policies are reviewed, with no changes.
		Related Documents: Board Policies Review 2016 Report to FILE-General Policies - Series 100(II)- UPDATED.pdf POLICY REVIEW TOOL-Criteria for BOT Policy Review.2016-2017.pdf 5.27.2016.BOT Policy Series 100	Implementation Status:  03/13/2017 - Updating of policies is a continuous process and several policies were updated in 2016. In 2016, author attempted to have all policies updated before the year ended, which was one of the goals.
		Review.pdf 7-15-16 BOT mtg.signed.pdf 7.31.15BOTmtg.signed.pdf	Although policy review sessions were scheduled, author has to anticipate other institutional priorities

policies is everal 016. In have all year he goals. ssions to anticipate other institutional priorities such as the Board of Trustees/Faculty Union contract which expired in 2016. Several key management personnel were involved in this process and had to reschedule, which was necessary at the time. In January 2017, the key management personnel once again was on track and at one session all Board Policy

BOT Fiscal Calendar (October 2016-September 2017)-(DRAFT) for

Series 200 Policies.2016.pdf

Series 300 Policies.2016.pdf

Series 400 Policies.2016.pdf

Series 500 Policies.2016.pdf

Series 700 Policies.2016.pdf

Board.pdf

Administrative Unit Outcomes (AUOs)	Means of Assessment & Criteria (Written in %)/Tasks	Data Collection Status/Summary of Results (N=?)	Use of Summary Result & Implementation Status
		2016.Attendance BOT Policy Review.working session.pdf	Implementation Status: Series from 200-700 were reviewed. A set of policies were either amended or noted as reviewed without changes. This first set into 2016 were approved at a Board of Trustees meeting on February 3, 2017. This process of having the matrix listing the policies and the next review date is very helpful for the author and believes the same for the institutional The author believes this review process is a success and that the author did achieve the goals as planned and will continuously apply this process for future updates to all Board of Trustees policies.
			10/10/2016 - BOT Policy Review reports provide a matrix of policies with last review date and next review date. This provides the author and BOT members a list as a guide of which policies are expected to be reviewed and amended, if needed. Though it is not recorded in the BOT Minutes, the author and BOT members are still aware and anticipate policy review working sessions.
			10/10/2016 - Not all of the 78 policies are currently due for review, however, in 2015 and 2016, some were due for review, were updated with changes and or no changes. This is a continuous process as policies should be updated for currency as needed.
			Implementation Status:  03/13/2017 - Author achieved its goal of scheduling sessions for updating of all policies and will continue to utilize the matrix listing Board policies for review and date due for review.
Board of Trustees - FA2015-SP2017 AUO#2-Board Assessment - Continued - Continue to set an example by engaging all stakeholders in the	Artifact/Instrument/Rubric/Method/Tool Description: 2a. Implement a regular schedule for board		

Administrative Unit Outcomes (AUOs)	Means of Assessment & Criteria ( Written in % ) / Tasks	Data Collection Status/Summary of Results (N=?)	Use of Summary Result & Implementation Status
College's continuous assessment and planning processes so that there is a clear understanding of roles and expectations among all constituents.  Start Date: 10/31/2015 End Date: 05/31/2017 AUO Status: Currently being assessed Program SLO/AUO Plan reflects/incorporates: Use and Implementation of Results from the previous cycle Historical Assessment Perspective: Use and implementation of results from the previous cycle. Budget Goals: Assessment. Set an example by engaging all stakeholders in the College's continuous assessment and planning processes so that there is a clear understanding of roles and expectations among all constituents.	assessment training to increase and deepen members' knowledge of assessment and accreditation for accountability and improvement Type of Artifact/Instrument/Rubric/Method/Tool: Training Plan Criterion (Written in %): 100% of all Board members will attend the regular assessment training-in compliance with assessment process. Budget Related Performance Indicators: Implement regular schedule for Board assessment training to increase/deepen members' knowledge of assessment/accreditation for accountability and improvement; include input/participation of Faculty Senate in the GBAQ process. Related Documents: Proposed.BOT Fiscal Calendar (October 2015-September 2016).pdf Proposed.AY2015-2017 BOT Assessment Goals, Objectives, Person Responsible, Timeline.pdf		
	Artifact/Instrument/Rubric/Method/Tool Description:  2b. Include the input and participation of the Faculty Senate in the Governing Board Assessment Questionnaire (GBAQ) process. Type of Artifact/Instrument/Rubric/Method/Tool: Other (indicate the specific tool in the Method field/box) Criterion (Written in %): 100% Board participation in survey Budget Related Performance Indicators: Implement regular schedule for Board Assessment Training to increase/deepen members' knowledge of assessment/accreditation for accountability and improvement; include input/participation of faculty senate in the GBAQ process.		
		10/10/2016 - N=7 or 100% participation from BOT members in survey.  Summary of Result Type: Criterion Met Data Collection Status/Summary of Result Status:	03/13/2017 - Author will work with AIER to help align the assessment cycle schedule with the survey schedule.
		Administrative/Student Services Unit Data Collection Status  Growth Budget Implications/Effect:  No budget impact	10/10/2016 - Issues are resolved/addressed by Board of Trustees for all constituents and the GCC community, including
02/27/2018 9:06 AM	Generated by TracDat a product of Nuventive.		Page 4 of 6

Governance Evaluation. Assess the  effectiveness of the participatory governance structure as a whole through an integrated campus-wide survey that builds on previous  10/10/2016 - N=7 or 100% Board participation. Summary of Result Type:  Criterion Met  Data Collection Status/Summary of Result Status:  Data Collection Status/Summary of Result Status:  forthcoming.	Administrative Unit Outcomes (AUOs)	Means of Assessment & Criteria (Written in %)/Tasks	Data Collection Status/Summary of Results (N=?)	Use of Summary Result & Implementation Status
Budget Goals: Governance Evaluation. Assess the effectiveness of the participatory governance structure as a whole through an integrated campus-wide survey that builds on previous assessment work.  Status  Growth Budget Implications/Effect: No budget impact Growth Budget Justification: No budget impact known to author at this time. Budget Related Proposed Outcomes: Evidence of input by the faculty, staff and student representatives present at Board meetings will reflect their close connection with the participatory governance process.  Budget Related Proposed Outcomes: Evidence of input by the faculty, staff and student representatives present at Board meetings will reflect their close connection with the participatory governance process.  Budget Related Proposed Outcomes: Evidence of input by the faculty, staff and student representatives present at Board meetings will reflect their close connection with the participatory governance process.  Budget Related Proposed Outcomes: Evidence of input by the faculty, staff and student representatives present at Board meetings will reflect their close connection with the participatory governance process.  Board of Trustees members and non-Board of Trustees members and non-Board of Trustees members and its results will be used to gather perceptions of Board functions and its effectiveness and anticipate this	previous cycle.			
	Budget Goals: Governance Evaluation. Assess the effectiveness of the participatory governance structure as a whole through an integrated campus-wide survey that builds on previous assessment work.		Summary of Result Type: Criterion Met Data Collection Status/Summary of Result Status: Administrative/Student Services Unit Data Collection Status Growth Budget Implications/Effect: No budget impact Growth Budget Justification: No budget impact known to author at this time. Budget Related Proposed Outcomes: Evidence of input by the faculty, staff and student representatives present at Board meetings will reflect their close connection with	and results are being compiled by AIER, however, the report will be forthcoming.  10/10/2016 - Participate actively in campus-wide governance survey.  10/10/2016 - Anticipate a campus wide survey and positive participation from Board of Trustees members and non-Board of Trustees members. The number of survey participants and its results will be used to gather perceptions of Board functions and its effectiveness and anticipate this